

SUPPLEMENTAL FACTUAL UPDATE
MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL OBSTRUCTION — NEW CONFIRMED EVIDENCE

Pierre Deglaire — 27 March 2026

FROM: Pierre Deglaire, Plaintiff Pro Se

pierre.deglair@gmail.com | deglaire.aoc@gmail.com | +33 6 63 90 13 01

TO: Clerk of Court — U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia

333 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20001

DATE: 27 March 2026

RE: Supplemental Factual Update — Case 1:25-cv-10163-LTS — New Evidence + Procedural Status Inquiry

ACTIVE CASE: Deglaire v. French State et al — 1:25-cv-10163-LTS (SDNY/DDC)

This document is submitted as a supplemental factual update to the Global Filing of December 2025 and to all subsequent submissions on record. It incorporates newly confirmed evidence and places it within the documented pattern of multi-jurisdictional obstruction that forms the core of this case.

This is not an accusation. It is a factual record, submitted in full transparency, in the expectation that competent authorities will draw their own conclusions.

I. NEW EVIDENCE — FORMAL UK HIGH COURT CONFIRMATION

On 27 March 2026, Charlotte Brice, Chancery Listing Officer, HM Courts & Tribunals Service (Rolls Building, London), confirmed in writing, in response to a formal registry verification request:

CONFIRMED BY HMCTS, 27 MARCH 2026: On 26 November 2025, Pierre Deglaire appeared before Mr Justice Richard Smith in Courtroom 10 of the Rolls Building as an urgent walk-in. No application or claim form was filed. No order was made. No case reference was issued. All documents were returned to the Plaintiff.

This establishes on the official record:

- A sitting High Court judge (Mr Justice Richard Smith) received the Plaintiff as an urgent walk-in on 26 November 2025;
- The Plaintiff explicitly requested to leave his documents with the Court — this request was refused;
- No procedural act was created: no minute, no log, no case number;
- The Plaintiff had driven approximately 2,000 km to attend, under conditions of extreme financial constraint and documented personal danger;
- A prior attempt the preceding week had been refused on the grounds of a GBP 10,000 fee demand, without direction to the applicable fee remission procedure.

This confirmation has been submitted to the Judicial Conduct Investigations Office (Ref. 50341/26), which previously declined jurisdiction. The identification of the presiding judge by HMCTS itself materially alters the basis of that complaint.

II. THE FOUR-JURISDICTION PATTERN

The UK confirmation does not stand alone. It is the latest element in a documented convergence across four jurisdictions. The Plaintiff submits this pattern as a factual record, each element independently documented.

France — Total Closure of Domestic Remedies

- Multiple formal complaints submitted to the Parquet National Financier (PNF) over several months. Zero responses received.
- Judicial proceedings at the tribunal de commerce conducted in circumstances documented as fundamentally irregular, including the liquidation of a company profitable for seven consecutive years.
- Systematic exclusion from effective legal representation through coordinated disinformation across multiple French criminal lawyers.
- Complete PNF silence constitutes a documented failure of domestic remedy within the meaning of Article 13 ECHR — formally before the European Court of Human Rights.

European Court of Human Rights — Procedural Exclusion

- Urgent petition filed under Rule 39 (interim measures), documenting immediate risk to life and requesting emergency protection. Reference: 30346/25.
- Filing returned on formalism grounds the Plaintiff submits were inapplicable to Rule 39 emergency procedure. The merits have not been substantively examined.

United Kingdom — Now Formally Confirmed (Section I above)

- Appearance before Mr Justice Richard Smith, Courtroom 10, Rolls Building, 26 November 2025: confirmed by HMCTS in writing, 27 March 2026.
- Five months of correspondence with HMCTS, JCIO, Lord Chancellor’s office, and KB Listing produced no substantive response until a targeted binary question forced confirmation on 27 March 2026.

United States — The Open Question

The Plaintiff arrived in New York on 6 December 2025. On 8 December 2025, he filed the Global Filing with the SDNY Pro Se Office and met in person with FBI representatives. On 9 December 2025, USPS confirmed delivery of the 320-page Global Filing to the FBI Building (ZIP 10278) at 11:23 AM.

Today is 27 March 2026 — 109 days since the physical filing and FBI meeting. In that period, a federal case number was issued (1:25-cv-10163-LTS) and Chief Judge Swain entered a transfer order to the DDC, expressly recognizing the emergency character of the matter. No further substantive development has been communicated to the Plaintiff.

The Plaintiff does not assert that this constitutes obstruction equivalent to that documented in the three other jurisdictions. The Plaintiff does, however, place the following observation on the record:

Three of the four jurisdictions in which this matter has been filed have produced formally documented failures of access to justice, each confirmed by institutional sources. The fourth — the United States — has produced a case number and a transfer order, and nothing further that is visible to the Plaintiff after 109 days. The Plaintiff respectfully invites the competent U.S. authorities to consider what this pattern warrants in the context of their own assessment of this case.

III. TWO DISTINCT U.S. PROCEEDINGS — REAFFIRMATION

- Track A — Civil proceedings (Case 1:25-cv-10163-LTS): personal protection, access to justice, interim relief, damages. Active before the DDC following the SDNY transfer order.
- Track B — Independent federal interest: obstruction of U.S. federal processes on U.S. territory (documented, December 2025); antitrust violations affecting U.S. market integrity (Sherman Act nexus); interference with federal judicial communications. This track exists regardless of the outcome of Track A.

The HMCTS confirmation of 27 March 2026 is directly relevant to Track B: it confirms that the same access-denial mechanism documented in France and before the ECHR was also operative in the United Kingdom, reinforcing the transnational and coordinated character of the obstruction documented in the Global Filing.

IV. SPECIFIC REQUESTS

To the Clerk of Court — District of Columbia:

- Confirmation that Case 1:25-cv-10163-LTS has been received by this Court following the SDNY transfer order of approximately January 12, 2026;
- Assignment of a DDC case number and identification of the assigned judge;
- Instructions for filing as a pro se litigant in a transferred case, including CM/ECF access and PACER fee waiver applicability;
- Urgent consideration of the emergency character already recognized by Chief Judge Swain, in light of newly confirmed evidence of systemic obstruction across three other jurisdictions.

The Plaintiff submits this update in a spirit of complete transparency and procedural loyalty. He seeks only what every litigant is entitled to: acknowledgment that his submissions exist, and guidance on how to proceed.

Respectfully submitted,

Pierre Deglaire

Plaintiff, Pro Se

pierre.deglaire@gmail.com | deglaire.aaic@gmail.com | +33 6 63 90 13 01

27 March 2026

COPIES TRANSMITTED SIMULTANEOUSLY TO:

Clerk of Court, U.S. District Court, Southern District of New York
Federal Bureau of Investigation — New York Field Office
European Court of Human Rights — Registry (Ref. 30346/25)
Ministry of Justice, United Kingdom — for information

KEY DOCUMENT REFERENCED:

HMCTS confirmation — Charlotte Brice, Chancery Listing Officer — 27 March 2026

Mr Justice Richard Smith | Courtroom 10, Rolls Building | 26 November 2025

Global Filing (320 pages, December 2025) — available on request

SUPPLEMENTAL FACTUAL UPDATE
MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL OBSTRUCTION — NEW CONFIRMED EVIDENCE

Pierre Deglaire — 27 March 2026

FROM: Pierre Deglaire, Plaintiff Pro Se

pierre.deglaire@gmail.com | deglaire.aoc@gmail.com | +33 6 63 90 13 01

TO: Federal Bureau of Investigation — New York Field Office

26 Federal Plaza, New York, NY 10278

DATE: 27 March 2026

RE: Supplemental Factual Update — New Evidence of Systemic Obstruction + Four-Jurisdiction Pattern

ACTIVE CASE: Deglaire v. French State et al — 1:25-cv-10163-LTS (SDNY/DDC)

This document is submitted as a supplemental factual update to the Global Filing of December 2025 and to all subsequent submissions on record. It incorporates newly confirmed evidence and places it within the documented pattern of multi-jurisdictional obstruction that forms the core of this case.

This is not an accusation. It is a factual record, submitted in full transparency, in the expectation that competent authorities will draw their own conclusions.

I. NEW EVIDENCE — FORMAL UK HIGH COURT CONFIRMATION

On 27 March 2026, Charlotte Brice, Chancery Listing Officer, HM Courts & Tribunals Service (Rolls Building, London), confirmed in writing, in response to a formal registry verification request:

CONFIRMED BY HMCTS, 27 MARCH 2026: On 26 November 2025, Pierre Deglaire appeared before Mr Justice Richard Smith in Courtroom 10 of the Rolls Building as an urgent walk-in. No application or claim form was filed. No order was made. No case reference was issued. All documents were returned to the Plaintiff.

This establishes on the official record:

- A sitting High Court judge (Mr Justice Richard Smith) received the Plaintiff as an urgent walk-in on 26 November 2025;

- The Plaintiff explicitly requested to leave his documents with the Court — this request was refused;
- No procedural act was created: no minute, no log, no case number;
- The Plaintiff had driven approximately 2,000 km to attend, under conditions of extreme financial constraint and documented personal danger;
- A prior attempt the preceding week had been refused on the grounds of a GBP 10,000 fee demand, without direction to the applicable fee remission procedure.

This confirmation has been submitted to the Judicial Conduct Investigations Office (Ref. 50341/26), which previously declined jurisdiction. The identification of the presiding judge by HMCTS itself materially alters the basis of that complaint.

II. THE FOUR-JURISDICTION PATTERN

The UK confirmation does not stand alone. It is the latest element in a documented convergence across four jurisdictions. The Plaintiff submits this pattern as a factual record, each element independently documented.

France — Total Closure of Domestic Remedies

- Multiple formal complaints submitted to the Parquet National Financier (PNF) over several months. Zero responses received.
- Judicial proceedings at the tribunal de commerce conducted in circumstances documented as fundamentally irregular, including the liquidation of a company profitable for seven consecutive years.
- Systematic exclusion from effective legal representation through coordinated disinformation across multiple French criminal lawyers.
- Complete PNF silence constitutes a documented failure of domestic remedy within the meaning of Article 13 ECHR — formally before the European Court of Human Rights.

European Court of Human Rights — Procedural Exclusion

- Urgent petition filed under Rule 39 (interim measures), documenting immediate risk to life and requesting emergency protection. Reference: 30346/25.
- Filing returned on formalism grounds the Plaintiff submits were inapplicable to Rule 39 emergency procedure. The merits have not been substantively examined.

United Kingdom — Now Formally Confirmed (Section I above)

- Appearance before Mr Justice Richard Smith, Courtroom 10, Rolls Building, 26 November 2025: confirmed by HMCTS in writing, 27 March 2026.
- Five months of correspondence with HMCTS, JCIO, Lord Chancellor’s office, and KB Listing produced no substantive response until a targeted binary question forced confirmation on 27 March 2026.

United States — The Open Question

The Plaintiff arrived in New York on 6 December 2025. On 8 December 2025, he filed the Global Filing with the SDNY Pro Se Office and met in person with FBI representatives. On 9 December 2025, USPS confirmed delivery of the 320-page Global Filing to the FBI Building (ZIP 10278) at 11:23 AM.

Today is 27 March 2026 — 109 days since the physical filing and FBI meeting. In that period, a federal case number was issued (1:25-cv-10163-LTS) and Chief Judge Swain entered a transfer order to the DDC, expressly recognizing the emergency character of the matter. No further substantive development has been communicated to the Plaintiff.

The Plaintiff does not assert that this constitutes obstruction equivalent to that documented in the three other jurisdictions. The Plaintiff does, however, place the following observation on the record:

Three of the four jurisdictions in which this matter has been filed have produced formally documented failures of access to justice, each confirmed by institutional sources. The fourth — the United States — has produced a case number and a transfer order, and nothing further that is visible to the Plaintiff after 109 days. The Plaintiff respectfully invites the competent U.S. authorities to consider what this pattern warrants in the context of their own assessment of this case.

III. TWO DISTINCT U.S. PROCEEDINGS — REAFFIRMATION

- Track A — Civil proceedings (Case 1:25-cv-10163-LTS): personal protection, access to justice, interim relief, damages. Active before the DDC following the SDNY transfer order.
- Track B — Independent federal interest: obstruction of U.S. federal processes on U.S. territory (documented, December 2025); antitrust violations affecting U.S. market integrity (Sherman Act nexus); interference with federal judicial communications. This track exists regardless of the outcome of Track A.

The HMCTS confirmation of 27 March 2026 is directly relevant to Track B: it confirms that the same access-denial mechanism documented in France and before the ECHR was also operative

in the United Kingdom, reinforcing the transnational and coordinated character of the obstruction documented in the Global Filing.

IV. SPECIFIC REQUESTS

To the Federal Bureau of Investigation:

- Acknowledgment that this Supplemental Factual Update has been received and associated with the Global Filing of December 8–9, 2025 and Case 1:25-cv-10163-LTS;
- Confirmation that the newly confirmed UK evidence (Mr Justice Richard Smith, Courtroom 10, 26 November 2025, HMCTS written confirmation) has been noted in the context of the obstruction pattern documented in the Global Filing;
- Guidance on the establishment of a secure channel for the transmission of a further Supplemental Submission covering all post-December 2025 developments.

The Plaintiff submits this update in a spirit of complete transparency and procedural loyalty. He seeks only what every litigant is entitled to: acknowledgment that his submissions exist, and guidance on how to proceed.

Respectfully submitted,

Pierre Deglaire

Plaintiff, Pro Se

pierre.deglaire@gmail.com | deglaire.aoic@gmail.com | +33 6 63 90 13 01

27 March 2026

COPIES TRANSMITTED SIMULTANEOUSLY TO:

U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia
Clerk of Court, U.S. District Court, Southern District of New York
European Court of Human Rights — Registry (Ref. 30346/25)
Ministry of Justice, United Kingdom — for information

KEY DOCUMENT REFERENCED:

HMCTS confirmation — Charlotte Brice, Chancery Listing Officer — 27 March 2026
Mr Justice Richard Smith | Courtroom 10, Rolls Building | 26 November 2025
Global Filing (320 pages, December 2025) — available on request