

## **Emergency Re-Filing – Without Notice / On the Papers – Request for Interim Protective Measures and Access to Justice**

**Pierre Deglaire**  
7 rue Guillaume Apollinaire 33700 France  
+33 6 63 90 13 01

**Da Nang, Vietnam, January 19 th 2026**

**King’s Bench Division – Urgent Applications Court**  
**The Rolls Building**  
**7 Rolls Buildings, Fetter Lane**  
**London EC4A 1NL – United Kingdom**

**To the Registry of the High Court of Justice,**

I write to the Court to **re-file an emergency application**, following the submission of a **Formal Notice of Procedural Irregularity and Denial of Access** (submitted separately), which establishes that my prior attempt to access emergency judicial protection on **26 November 2025** resulted in **no procedural examination and no judicial record**.

This re-filing is therefore made on the basis that **no emergency application has yet been examined in law**, despite my physical attendance and repeated explanations of urgency.

---

### **A. Nature of the Application**

This is an **Emergency Application**, made:

- **Without notice,**
- **On the papers,**
- **Without physical attendance,**
- Due to **immediate risks to personal safety,**
- And due to **material obstruction preventing effective access to justice.**

The urgency is aggravated by:

- repeated procedural obstruction,
- the absence of any existing judicial decision,
- ongoing financial strangulation preventing subsistence and legal action,
- and the Court’s prior inaction, which has materially worsened the situation.

---

## B. Procedural Context

I respectfully confirm that:

- no case number has ever been communicated to me,
- no filing receipt has been issued,
- no written decision exists,
- no emergency criteria were examined on the record.

Accordingly, this application must be treated as a **first effective emergency filing**.

---

## C. Relief Sought (Interim and Protective Only)

I do **not** seek a determination on the merits.

I respectfully seek **interim and strictly limited measures**, necessary to prevent a denial of access to justice and to preserve life, evidence, and procedure, namely:

1. **Interim access to funds strictly necessary for subsistence**, including basic living expenses, safety, and medical needs,
2. **Interim access to funds necessary for the preservation of evidence and the conduct of legal proceedings**,
3. Alternatively, the **appointment of an independent receiver / trustee or escrow mechanism**, limited to:
  - subsistence,
  - security,
  - and procedural preservation,
4. Any other **protective or conservatory measure** the Court deems appropriate to prevent irreparable harm pending further directions.

These measures are sought **solely to prevent that the judicial process itself becomes a factor of danger or obstruction**.

---

## D. Legal Basis (High-Level)

The application is grounded on fundamental principles of English law, including:

- the right of **effective access to justice**,
- the prohibition of **procedural denial resulting from financial strangulation**,

- the Court’s inherent jurisdiction to order **interim protective measures in cases of urgency and risk to life**,
  - and the principle that a party must not be rendered **unable to litigate by the very circumstances under judicial scrutiny**.
- 

## E. Request for Directions

Given the urgency and the history of procedural disappearance, I respectfully request:

- written confirmation of receipt,
  - allocation of a **case number**,
  - and **written procedural directions**, even if provisional.
- 

## F. Documents Provided

- **Formal Notice of Procedural Irregularity and Denial of Access** (dated ...)
  - Supporting documents (if required, upon instruction)
- 

## Status of the Merits Dossier

For completeness, I further confirm that the comprehensive merits dossier referred to above (approximately 320 pages, including supporting exhibits) has been the subject of differing procedural treatment across jurisdictions.

This dossier was presented for filing on two separate occasions at the High Court in London in November 2025 and was not accepted, retained, or formally logged, for the reasons described in the accompanying Formal Notice of Procedural Irregularity.

By contrast, the same dossier has been formally accepted within ongoing judicial proceedings in New York, where the situation was expressly treated as urgent, and has been transmitted to Washington in accordance with applicable procedures.

I do not invite the Court to make any determination on the merits at this stage. This information is provided solely to demonstrate that the present emergency application is grounded in an existing, structured case, already recognized as urgent in another judicial forum, and that the current urgency before this Court is not theoretical but operational.

I respectfully submit this emergency re-filing for urgent consideration **on the papers**, and request written confirmation.

For information only, copies of this filing have been sent to the Ministry of Justice, the Lord Chancellor's Private Office, and the Judicial Conduct Investigations Office.

Yours faithfully,

**Pierre Deglaire**  
**7 rue Guillaume Apollinaire 33700 France**  
**+33 6 63 90 13 01**  
[deglaire.aoc@gmail.com](mailto:deglaire.aoc@gmail.com)

**Da Nang, Vietnam, January 19 th 2026**

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "P. Deglaire".