

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Global Filing – Exceptional Dual-Track Federal Case

Systemic Criminal Obstruction, Market Suppression, and Foreign Interference

Pierre Deglaire – 15 December 2025

1. Nature and Purpose of This Executive Summary

This Executive Summary accompanies a **320-page Global Filing** documenting an **exceptional and unprecedented convergence** of :

- Severe criminal acts against an individual,
- Systemic obstruction of justice across multiple jurisdictions,
- Coordinated market suppression involving state actors, major banking institutions, and private entities,
- And the extension of those acts onto **U.S. territory**, thereby triggering **independent U.S. federal interests**.

This document does **not** attempt to restate the full evidentiary record.

Its purpose is to **make intelligible, in a limited number of pages**, the **true gravity, structure, and strategic relevance** of the case.

A concise chronology and key supporting exhibits are attached to enable rapid verification of the core facts.

2. A Case of Exceptional Gravity and Rarity

This is not a standard human-rights case.

It is not a conventional commercial dispute.

It is not an isolated criminal matter.

It is a **rare convergence** in which:

- An individual is subjected to **physical, psychological, economic, and institutional attacks**,
- while simultaneously being the **carrier of evidence** concerning:
 - Massive anticompetitive practices,
 - Suppression of systemic financial innovation,

- And potential exposure of state-linked and banking actors to **multi-jurisdictional liability**.

Over more than a decade, the following have been documented:

- Unlawful detention and deprivation of liberty,
- Forced psychiatric sedation without legal basis,
- Multiple attempts against my life (including poisonings and vehicle sabotage),
- Engineered destruction of all income and professional access,
- Continuous surveillance and intimidation,
- And deliberate denial of access to any effective judicial remedy.

What makes this case **historically unusual** is not only the violence of the acts, but **their coordination across systems**.

3. The “Isolation Bubble” Mechanism (Central to the Case)

A core feature of this case is the construction of a **total isolation bubble**.

This bubble is not limited to technical interception of communications. It is **systemic, social, institutional, political, and economic**.

Its observable effects include:

- Suppression or interception of legal filings,
- Absence of response from courts, prosecutors, and regulatory bodies,
- Total silence from political parties, associations, unions, NGOs, and media,
- Destruction of professional networks and financing channels,
- repeated neutralization of any attempt to externalize the facts.

The objective effect of this bubble is clear:

To cut an individual off from reality,
To prevent public visibility of the facts,
To block any independent verification,
and to ensure that the **gravity of the acts never reaches an audience capable of reacting**.

This level of **totalized isolation** is not accidental. **Its scale and recurrence are consistent with coordinated interference and cannot reasonably be explained by isolated failures.**

4. Systemic Market Suppression and Financial Stakes

Parallel to the personal attacks, the same actors and mechanisms were used to **block and destroy two large-scale economic innovations**, most notably:

Lucy Bank — Banking Innovation

- EU-validated banking model,
- Systemic innovation in deposit protection, risk allocation, and financial architecture,
- Intended transnational scope,
- Potential relevance to U.S. financial observers, fintech ecosystems, and global regulatory debates.

Its suppression was not the result of market failure.

It followed a **pattern of obstruction, exclusion, and coordinated blocking**.

Financial Scale (Conservative Framing)

- European deposit market: ~€20 trillions,
- Annual turnover of major French banks: **well above €160 billions** (top institutions alone),
- Duration of observable obstruction : **more than five years** (likely longer),
- Cumulative economic suppression and damage : **exceeding €100 billions** on conservative estimates.

These figures are contextual, not accusations. They are provided as preliminary order-of-magnitude indicators to support federal-interest routing and are subject to refinement by competent authorities.

They explain why U.S. federal interest exists, irrespective of my personal claims.

5. Transition to U.S. Federal Relevance

The case crossed a critical threshold when **interference continued on U.S. soil**.

During my presence in New York (December 2025) :

- Telecommunications were entirely neutralized,
- Emergency call capability was unavailable,
- Communications to U.S. federal institutions produced repeated, abnormal non-delivery and non-response outcomes inconsistent with normal functioning,
- Cyber interference occurred during preparation of federal submissions.

These events are not ancillary.

They constitute **direct interference with U.S. federal processes and communications**.

At that point, the matter ceased to be exclusively European.

6. Dual-Track Structure (Clarified)

Track A — Personal Protection and Access to Justice

- Victim of serious criminal acts,
- Denial of effective judicial remedy,
- Ongoing risk to life and safety,
- Need for protected communication and procedural access.

Track B — Independent U.S. Federal Interest

- Antitrust and market-exclusion exposure,
- Obstruction of U.S. federal processes,
- Interference with whistleblower-type disclosures,
- Potential impact on U.S. financial and regulatory interests,
- Relevance to counter-interference and integrity of U.S. jurisdiction.

Track B exists even if Track A did not.

7. Rationale for Multiple, Cumulative Procedural Statuses

Given the **exceptional convergence** of :

- Criminal violence,
- Systemic obstruction,
- Transnational market suppression,
- And interference on U.S. territory,

No single procedural status is sufficient.

The following statuses are **legally compatible and functionally distinct** :

- Material Witness,
- Whistleblower (Antitrust / Financial / Market Integrity),
- Confidential or Privileged Informant,
- Victim of Federal Offenses on U.S. Soil,

- Protected Foreign National in a Federal Matter.

Each status answers a **different operational need**:

- Protection,
- Secure communication,
- Evidentiary handling,
- Incentive alignment,
- And institutional responsibility.

Their combination reflects the **exceptional nature of the case**, not redundancy.

I am not asserting entitlement to any single program. I respectfully request that competent authorities determine the appropriate classification or combination of classifications to ensure protection, secure communications, proper evidentiary handling, and institutional accountability.

8. Conclusion

This case documents :

- Extreme personal endangerment,
- Deliberate construction of an isolation bubble,
- Systemic suppression of financial innovation,
- And direct interference with U.S. federal processes.

It is **rare, grave, and strategically significant**.

The United States is not being asked to arbitrate a foreign dispute.

It is being asked to **protect its own legal processes, market principles, and institutional integrity**.

Timely federal handling is now essential.

I respectfully request immediate routing to the appropriate federal divisions, acknowledgment of receipt, and instructions for establishing a secure and protected communication channel.

Pierre Deglaire

7 Rue Guillaume Apollinaire

33700 Mérignac — France

+33 6 63 90 13 01

pierre.deglaire@gmail.com

Mérignac France 15 12 2025

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'P. Deglans', written in a cursive style.